## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Erick Estuardo-Calvo	Case No.1:20-cr-00019-RJJ	
	Defendant		
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Acceleration and the detained pending trial.	t, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
	Part I – Findings	of Fact	
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U a federal offense a state or local offense that wou existed – that is	S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of all have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death of	or life imprisonment.	
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten year	ars or more is prescribed in:	
		<u> </u>	
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convius. U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	icted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 al offenses.	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:  a minor victim		
	the possession or use of a firearm or destriction a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 225	ructive device or any other dangerous weapon	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	e of conviction defendant's release from prison for the	
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption to person or the community. I further find that defendant has no		
	Alternative Findi	·	
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has co	mmitted an offense	
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	is prescribed in:*	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the s		
	Alternative Findi	ngs (B)	
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.		
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the s		
	Part II – Statement of the Rea		
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the deten a preponderance of the evidence that:	tion hearing establishes by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing	
2. Defen	dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest det dant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released dant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the co	in any case.	

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	February 04, 2020	Judge's Signature: /s/ Sally J. Berens
		Name and Title: Sally J. Berens, U.S. Magistrate Judge